



BWoS: Formally Verified Block-based Work Stealing for Parallel Processing

Jiawei Wang¹², <u>Bohdan Trach</u>¹, Ming Fu¹, Diogo Behrens¹, Jonathan Schwender¹, Yutao Liu¹, Jitang Lei¹, Viktor Vafeiadis³, Hermann Härtig², Haibo Chen¹⁴



Parallel Processing Scenarios



Parallel Processing Scenarios



Parallel Processing Scenarios



Existing Approaches



Existing Approaches



Does not scale



Existing Approaches

Does not scale

Fast but imbalanced

Work Stealing



1 A worker (thread) puts on / gets from its own queue.

Work Stealing



1 A worker (thread) puts on / gets from its own queue.

2 When its queue is empty, it selects another queue...

Work Stealing



1 A worker (thread) puts on / gets from its own queue.

2 When its queue is empty, it selects another queue...

 \bigcirc and try to steal from it.

Work Stealing Becomes the Bottleneck

Work Stealing Becomes the Bottleneck



Example 1: GoJson Object Decoding Benchmark

Work Stealing Becomes the Bottleneck



Example 1: GoJson Object Decoding Benchmark

Example 2: Experience of Rust Tokio's author

[1]

The run queue is at the heart of the scheduler. As such, it is probably the most critical component to get right. The original Tokio scheduler used crossbeam's deque implementation, which is single-producer, multi-consumer deque

contention is reduced. However, Rust's asynchronous tasks are expected to take very little time executing when popped from the run queue. In this scenario, the overhead from contending on the queue becomes significant.





 A worker (thread) puts on / gets from its own queue. *FIFO / LIFO* A) Cost of Synchronization Operations

2 When its queue is empty, it selects another queue... Random, best of two, NUMA-aware, Batching ...

B) Overhead due to Victim Selection (see paper)

3 and try to steal from it.C) Interference Cost with Thieves



A) Cost of Synchronization Operations



• Throughput of existing works is far away from sequential queues (theoretical upper bound)

A) Cost of Synchronization Operations



• Throughput of existing works is far away from sequential queues (theoretical upper bound)

 As steals may happen at any time strong atomic barriers are introduced

C) Cost of Interference with Thieves

• Thieves affect the throughput of the owner



C) Cost of Interference with Thieves



- Thieves affect the throughput of the owner
- Stealing 1% of the elements:







 A worker (thread) puts on / gets from its own queue. *FIFO / LIFO*
 Block-level synchronization: no barriers inside blocks

2 When its queue is empty, it selects another queue... Random, best of two, NUMA-aware, Batching ...

Randomized Victim Selection Policy

 \bigcirc and try to steal from it.

No Interference when stealing from a different block







A) Cost of Synchronization Operations

• Block-level synchronization: Each block is owned either by the owner or by the thieves



- Block-level synchronization: Each block is owned either by the owner or by the thieves
- When crossing block boundary: **use barriers** (takeover, grant)



- Block-level synchronization: Each block is owned either by the owner or by the thieves
- When crossing block boundary: **use barriers** (takeover, grant)



- Block-level synchronization: Each block is owned either by the owner or by the thieves
- When crossing block boundary: **use barriers** (takeover, grant)



- Block-level synchronization: Each block is owned either by the owner or by the thieves
- When crossing block boundary: use barriers (takeover, grant)
- Within block boundaries: **use relaxed atomics, no barriers** (fast path)



- Block-level synchronization: Each block is owned either by the owner or by the thieves
- When crossing block boundary: use barriers (takeover, grant)
- Within block boundaries: **use relaxed atomics, no barriers** (fast path)



- Block-level synchronization: Each block is owned either by the owner or by the thieves
- When crossing block boundary: use barriers (takeover, grant)
- Within block boundaries: **use relaxed atomics, no barriers** (fast path)







B) Overhead due to Victim Selection

• Each block has a dedicated metadata instance



B) Overhead due to Victim Selection

- Each block has a dedicated metadata instance
- Novel probabilistic stealing policy:
 Use sampling to estimate Size/Capacity.
- Thieves read only block-level metadata, and steal from longer queues with higher probability



B) Overhead due to Victim Selection

- Each block has a dedicated metadata instance
- Novel probabilistic stealing policy: Use sampling to estimate Size/Capacity.
- Thieves read only block-level metadata, and steal from longer queues with higher probability

15



B) Overhead due to Victim Selection

- Each block has a dedicated metadata instance
- Novel probabilistic stealing policy: Use sampling to estimate Size/Capacity.
- Thieves read only block-level metadata, and steal from longer queues with higher probability

15



B) Overhead due to Victim Selection

- Each block has a dedicated metadata instance
- Novel probabilistic stealing policy:
 Use sampling to estimate Size/Capacity.
- Thieves read only block-level metadata, and steal from longer queues with higher probability

15



B) Overhead due to Victim Selection

- Each block has a dedicated metadata instance
- Novel probabilistic stealing policy: Use sampling to estimate Size/Capacity.
- Thieves read only block-level metadata, and steal from longer queues with higher probability



C) Cost of Interference with Thieves

• Fixed by Block-Level Synchronization and Randomized Stealing



C) Cost of Interference with Thieves

- Fixed by Block-Level Synchronization and Randomized Stealing
- Thieves and the owner update different metadata, thus interference is reduced
- Thieves and the owner are likely to operate on different blocks

VSync Framework

primitive.c	\rightarrow clang —	input IR bar	rier-mode mbination	utation hecker	result	R→ model checker
VSync	lock	barrier		barrie	ŕ,	optimization
atomics	client code	analyzer	#barriers	optimiz	er /	report



→ model

checker

optimization

report









Compared against state-of-the-art work-stealing queues

Each queue has a capacity of 8k entries, with 8-byte data items; BWoS is configured to have 8 blocks.



Compared against state-of-the-art work-stealing queues

Each queue has a capacity of 8k entries, with 8-byte data items; BWoS is configured to have 8 blocks.



Compared against state-of-the-art work-stealing queues

Each queue has a capacity of 8k entries, with 8-byte data items; BWoS is configured to have 8 blocks.



Compared against state-of-the-art work-stealing queues

Each queue has a capacity of 8k entries, with 8-byte data items; BWoS is configured to have 8 blocks.



Summary: BWoS outperforms state-of-the-art queues by 1.6x – 10x without thieves, 1.6x - 30x with thieves.

BWoS in Go's Runtime

GoJson Object Decoding Benchmark



Summary: GoJson benchmarks experience 28.2% speedup on average for Arm (see paper). BWoS improves performance of real-world computational workloads.

BWoS in Rust Tokio Runtime

We replace the run queue in Rust Tokio with FIFO BWoS

Hyper HTTP server, 1k connections



BWoS in Rust Tokio Runtime

We replace the run queue in Rust Tokio with FIFO BWoS



BWoS in Rust Tokio Runtime

We replace the run queue in Rust Tokio with FIFO BWoS

Hyper HTTP server, 1k connections



Summary: BWoS increases throughput by 12% with 7% lower latency and 61% lower CPU utilization. BWoS improves performance of real-world IO servers.

We have published our changes for the Tokio runtime: <u>https://github.com/tokio-rs/tokio/pull/5283</u>

Summary

- The benefit of the block-based design is manyfold, and can be applied in many concurrent algorithms:
 - BWoS: Work Stealing (this work)
 - BBQ: Producer-Consumer Queues (ATC'22)

Summary

- The benefit of the block-based design is manyfold, and can be applied in many concurrent algorithms:
 - BWoS: Work Stealing (this work)
 - BBQ: Producer-Consumer Queues (ATC'22)
- Verified software can be faster than unverified software.

Summary

- The benefit of the block-based design is manyfold, and can be applied in many concurrent algorithms:
 - BWoS: Work Stealing (this work)
 - BBQ: Producer-Consumer Queues (ATC'22)
- Verified software can be faster than unverified software.

Thanks!